Citation: IJAEB: 7(4): 895-902 December 2014 DOI Number: 10.5958/2230-732X.2014.01402.8

©2014 New Delhi Publishers. All rights reserved



Response of baramasi lemon to various post-harvest treatments

Simranbir Kaur¹, S.K. Jawandha^{2*} and Harminder Singh³

Department of Fruit Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana - 141004, Punjab, India

*Corresponding author : skjawandha@pau.edu

Paper No. 283 Received: 15 June, 2014 Accepted: 14 November, 2014 Published: 15 December, 2014

Abstract

Baramasi lemon is an attractive fruit for its unique flavor and acidity. Harvesting period of winter crop of Baramasi lemon coincides with the cooler part of the year and there is low consumption of lemon fruits during winters, which leads to the glut in the market. Baramasi lemons are sensitive to chilling injury and it is difficult to store in the commercial cold stores. So, there is a need to enhance the shelf-life of Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions. Keeping this in view, an experiment was conducted during 2014 to study the effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on the storage life and quality of Baramasi lemon fruits. Mature green Baramasi lemon fruits of uniform size and colour were harvested and treated with gibberellic acid (25, 50 &75 ppm), boric acid (1, 2 and 3%) and sodium benzoate (2, 3 and 4%) and packed in low density polyethylene (LDPE) bags. Fruit were analyzed for various physico-chemical characteristics after 15, 30, 45 and 60 days of storage. Results revealed that minimum spoilage and total soluble solids (TSS) and maximum physiological weight loss reduction, palatability rating, acidity were recorded in gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm + LDPE packaging during the entire storage period. It can be concluded that gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm along with LDPE packaging was found to be most effective in extending the post-harvest life of Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions for 60 days.

Highlights

 Postharvest treatment of gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm + LDPE packaging enhanced the storage life and quality of Baramasi lemon fruits up to 60 days under ambient conditions.

Keywords: Baramasi lemon, gibberellic acid, boric acid, LDPE packaging, storage life

Citrus is one of most important fruit among the tropical and sub-tropical fruits of the world. India is the major producer of limes and lemons and it is considered to be probable origin place of lemons Tanaka, (1958). Lime and Lemon are grown in tropical and subtropical regions of India occupying an area of 279.3 (000) ha with an annual production of 2476.0 (000) MT (Anon 2014). Lime and lemon have high medicinal value and industrial use as it is a rich source of vitamin C. Baramasi lemon (*Citrus limon*

L. Burm) is well adapted to agro-climatic conditions of Punjab. Harvesting period of winter crop of Baramasi lemon coincides with the cooler part of the year and there is low consumption of lemon fruits during winters, which leads to glut in the market and low returns to growers. Baramasi lemons are sensitive to chilling injury and it is difficult to store in the commercial cold stores which are generally operated at low temperatures. So, there is a need to enhance the shelf-life of Baramasi lemon fruit at ambient conditions.

Internationally, several post-harvest technologies have been introduced to control fruit disorders, maintain optimum fruit quality, freshness and minimize the losses (Krochta, 1997; Hagenmaier, 2002; Bajwa and Anjum, 2007). The most common used technologies are polyethylene packaging, use of fungicides and growth regulators, emulsion coatings and chlorination (Perez et al., 2002; Thakur et al., 2002). Modified atmosphere packaging is very effective in lowering the degree of gaseous exchange resulting reduced rate of respiration, transpiration and other metabolic processes of the fruits (Zagory and Kader, 1988). Jawandha et al., 2014 reported that Low density polyethylene packaging (LDPE) was found to be most effective in maintaining the best quality Baramasi lemon fruits for 50 days storage at ambient conditions.

Several growth regulators and other chemicals also have been reported to extend the post harvest life of many fruits (Khadar et al., 1988). Some efforts have been made to in direction by employing certain chemicals/plant growth hormones to hasten or delay ripening, to reduce losses and to improve and maintain the colour and quality by slowing down the metabolic activities of the fruit (Ben-Yehoshua et al., 1981). These chemicals are reported to arrest the growth and spread of micro organisms by reducing the shriveling which ultimately leads to an increased shelf life and maintain the marketability of the fruit for a longer period (Ben-Yehoshua et al., 1981). In view of the above reports, the present study has been undertaken to evaluate the potential of post harvest treatments of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging to enhance the storage life and quality of Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the Department of fruit Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana during 2014. The mature green winter Baramasi lemon fruits of uniform size and colour were harvested in the month of January and transported to the Post-harvest laboratory, Department of fruit

science. The fruits were washed in chlorinated water and subjected to various treatments viz. T₁ (GA₂ @ 25 ppm + LDPE bags), T₂ (GA₂ @ 50 ppm + LDPE bags), T₃ (GA₃ @ 75 ppm + LDPE bags), T₄ (Boric acid @ 1% LDPE bags), T₅ (Boric acid @ 2% + LDPE bags), T₆ (Boric acid @ 3% + LDPE bags), T₇ (Sodium benzoate @ 2% + LDPE bags), T_o (Sodium benzoate @ 3% + LDPE bags), T₉ (Sodium benzoate @ 4% + LDPE bags), T₁₀ [Control (water dip + LDPE bags)] and T₁₁ [Control (water dip and unpacked)] for five minutes in the aqueous solutions. For storage studies 1.0 kg fruits from each replication of each treatment were packed in low density polyethylene packaging (LDPE) bags. The bags were sealed with electric sealer and kept at ambient conditions for 60 days. Fruit samples were analyzed after 15, 30, 45 and 60 days of storage for various physico-chemical characteristics:

Physiological loss in weight: The PLW was calculated on initial weight basis. The percent loss in weight after each storage interval was calculated by subtracting final weight from initial weight of the fruits and then converted into percentage value. The cumulative loss in weight was calculated on fresh weight basis.

PLW % = (Initial fruit wt.-Final fruit wt.)/(Initial fruit wt) \times 100

Spoilage percentage: Spoilage percentage was calculated by counting the total number of fruits that had spoiled in each replication and total number of fruits per replication at each storage interval.

Spoilage (%) = (Number of spoiled fruits)/(Total number of fruits) × 100

Palatability rating: The fruits were rated for this character by a panel of five judges on the basis of external appearance and freshness of fruit button. A Nine point 'Hedonic scale' described by Amerine et al., (1965) was used for its inference in which 9 for extremely desirable, 8 for Very much desirable, 7 for moderately desirable, 6 for slightly desirable, 5 for neither desirable or undesirable, 4 for slightly undesirable, 3 for moderately undesirable, 2 for Very much undesirable and extremely undesirable.



Table 1. Effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on physiological loss in weight (PLW) Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions

| Treatment | Physical loss in weight | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| | Storage interval (Days) | | | | ys) |
| | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | Mean |
| T ₁ Gibberellic acid @ 25 ppm | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.31 |
| T ₂ Gibberellic acid @50 pp m | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| T ₃ Gibberellic acid @75 ppm | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.23 |
| T ₄ Boric acid @ 1% | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.35 |
| T ₅ Boric acid @ 2% | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| T ₆ Boric acid @ 3% | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.28 |
| T ₇ Sodium benzoate @ 2% | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.40 |
| T ₈ Sodium benzoate @ 3% | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.34 |
| T ₉ Sodium benzoate @ 4% | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.32 |
| T ₁₀ Control (water dip+LDPE) | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.44 |
| T ₁₁ Control (water dip) unpacked | 4.60 | 7.31 | | 27.55 | 13.59 |
| Mean | 0.65 | 0.93 | 1.67 | 2.87 | |

CD at 5% level Storage: 0.15

Treatment: 0.26 Storage × Treatment: 0.51

Total soluble solids (TSS): Total soluble solids were determined from the juice at room temperature with the help of hand refractometer (Model Erma, Japan) and expressed in percent. The readings were corrected with the help of temperature correction chart at 20°C temperature (A.O.A.C., 1990).

Acidity: For determining acid content, 2 ml of juice was diluted to 10 ml with distilled water and titrated against 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator. The acid content was expressed as percentage of citric acid in juice by using the following formula.

Acidity (%) = $0.0067 \times \text{(Volume of } 0.1 \text{ N NaOH used)/}$ (Volume of juice taken) $\times 100$

Statistical Analysis: The data were analyzed statistically by Completely Randomized Block design (CRD) as described by Singh *et al.*, (1998).

Table 2. Effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on spoilage of Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions

| Treatment | Spoilage (%) | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | Storage interval (Days) | | | | |
| | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | Mean |
| T ₁ Gibberellic acid @ 25 ppm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₂ Gibberellic acid @50 ppm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₃ Gibberellic acid @75 ppm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₄ Boric acid @ 1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₅ Boric acid @ 2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₆ Boric acid @ 3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₇ Sodium benzoate @ 2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.52 | 0.88 |
| T ₈ Sodium benzoate @ 3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₉ Sodium benzoate @ 4% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T ₁₀ Control (water dip+ LDPE) | 0 | 0.15 | 4.10 | 8.53 | 3.19 |
| T ₁₁ Control (water dip) unpacked | 0 | 13.7 | 18.6 | 30.3 | 15.6 |
| Mean | 0 | 1.25 | 2.06 | 3.85 | |

CD at 5% level Storage: 0.18 Treatment: 0.29 Storage × Treatment: 0.59

Results and Discussion

The results of the present study "Response of Baramasi lemons to various Post-harvest treatments" are given as follows:

Physiological loss in weight (PLW)

The data presented in Table 1, showed a rapid increase in PLW in control (water dipped and unpacked) fruits during entire storage period. However, when the fruits were treated with 75 ppm GA3 and wrapped in LDPE bags the increase in

PLW was significantly reduced as compared to other treatments. The PLW was also found minimum in fruits treated with GA3 @ 75 ppm and wrapped in LDPE bags. Reduction in PLW in sealed fruits might be due to retardation in evaporation and respiration processes. The polyethylene film created the semipermeable barrier around the fruits and water saturated atmosphere inside the bag. The chemical application, coupled with LDPE sealing was effective in reducing weight loss. It might be due to blocking of stomatal apertures and lenticels, thereby reducing the rate of respiration and transpiration. Plastic films prevent the dehydration and maintain the fruits in fresh conditions and thereby allowing the fruit storage at ambient conditions. The maximum loss in weight was recorded in unwrapped fruits because the fruits were subjected to higher rate of respiration. This higher respiration rate resulted in higher transpiration of water from the fruit surface which led to increase in percentage of weight loss (Sabir et al.,2004). A similar reduction in the physiological loss in weight (PLW) of gibberellic acid treated tomato fruits was recorded by Srividya et al., (2014)

during 45 days ambient storage. Results of this study also corelates with studty of Jawandha *et al.*,(2012) who reported that gibberellic acid treated and CFB packed ber fruits recorded minimum PLW reduction at the end of 30 days storage.

Spoilage

The data on spoilage percentage of Baramasi lemon fruits affected by different treatments during storage are represented in Table 2. Data shows that spoilage percentage increased with the progression of storage period. A significant effect of chemical treatments on spoilage of fruits was recorded, as no spoilage was recorded up to 60 days in all the chemical treatments except sodium benzoate @ 2%, but fruits under control (both LDPE packed and unpacked) showed spoilage after 30 days of storage. Baramasi lemon fruits treated with gibberellic acid (25, 50 and 75ppm), boric acid (1, 2 and 3%) and sodium benzoate (3 and 4%) + LDPE packaging treatments recorded zero spoilage throughout the storage period of 60 days at ambient conditions. The maximum spoilage was noticed in untreated and unpacked control fruits

Table 3. Effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on Palatability rating of Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions

| Treatment | | Palatability rating (1-9) | | | | | |
|--|------|---------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | Storage interval (Days) | | | | | |
| | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | Mean | | |
| T ₁ Gibberellic acid @ 25 ppm | 6.43 | 7.38 | 7.45 | 6.71 | 7.00 | | |
| T ₂ Gibberellic acid @50 ppm | 6.38 | 7.50 | 7.63 | 7.34 | 7.20 | | |
| T ₃ Gibberellic acid @75 ppm | 6.34 | 7.56 | 7.75 | 7.58 | 7.28 | | |
| T ₄ Boric acid @ 1% | 7.18 | 7.30 | 7.17 | 5.93 | 6.89 | | |
| T ₅ Boric acid @ 2% | 6.49 | 7.42 | 7.37 | 6.92 | 7.02 | | |
| T ₆ Boric acid @ 3% | 6.40 | 7.46 | 7.47 | 7.10 | 7.10 | | |
| T ₇ Sodium benzoate @ 2% | 7.38 | 7.29 | 6.91 | 5.26 | 6.71 | | |
| T ₈ Sodium benzoate @ 3% | 7.33 | 7.31 | 7.02 | 5.60 | 6.81 | | |
| T ₉ Sodium benzoate @ 4% | 7.27 | 7.35 | 7.25 | 6.03 | 6.97 | | |
| T ₁₀ Control (water dip+ LDPE) | 7.42 | 7.23 | 6.72 | 5.05 | 6.60 | | |
| T ₁₁ Control (water dip) unpacked | 7.58 | 6.62 | 5.57 | 3.25 | 5.75 | | |
| Mean | 6.92 | 7.32 | 7.11 | 6.01 | | | |

CD at 5% level

Storage: 0.08

Treatment: 0.14

Storage × Treatment: 0.28



ranging from 13.7-30.3% during 30 to 60 days of storage. The reduction in decay of fruits in polyethylene film may be due to reduction in vapour condensation (Ben-Yehosusha *et al.*, 1998). The present study confirms the results of Reddy *et al.*, (2008) who noticed the minimum spoilage in low density polyethylene film packed Acid lime fruits and Jawandha *et al.*, (2014) who reported that LDPE packed Baramasi Lemon fruits recorded minimum spoilage at the end of 50 days storage as compared to HDPE and CFB packed fruits. Exogenous application of gibberellic acid has been reported to delay postharvest decay in citrus fruits (Ben-Yehoshua *et al.*, 1995) by maintaining flesh firmness and retarding senescence (Valero *et al.*, 1998).

Palatability rating

The overall acceptability of Baramasi lemon fruits was judged from button (calyx plus disc) freshness, appearance, acidity and juice content of fruit. The average palatability rating of fruits increased

continuously up to 30 days of storage, afterwards a gradual decline was observed till the end of 60 days storage. The gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm + LDPE packed fruits maintained highest score for overall acceptability during the entire period of storage. In this treatment, all the fruits retained green buttons even after 60 days. It might be due to antisenescence property of GA₂ and reduced water vapor transmission rate in LDPE bags which attributes to overall fruit health. The results of present study are fairly coincided with the findings of Cunninghan et al., (2004) and Sakhale and Kapse, (2012) in citrus. who studied that that GA3 and bavistin treated followed by LDPE wrapped sweet orange fruits maintained highest score for overall acceptability which was the combined effect of shriveling and juice percentage. Tarabih M E and El-Metawally, (2014) studied the effect of boric acid and jojoba oil on shelf life of Washington navel fruits and he noticed highest palatability rating of treated fruits during the period of 45 days.

Table 4. Effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on Total Soluble Solids (TSS) Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions

| Treatment | | Total Soluble Solids (%) Storage interval (Days) | | | | | |
|--|------|--|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | Mean | | |
| T ₁ Gibberellic acid @ 25 ppm | 7.43 | 7.50 | 7.59 | 7.66 | 7.54 | | |
| T ₂ Gibberellic acid @50 ppm | 7.32 | 7.41 | 7.52 | 7.56 | 7.45 | | |
| T ₃ Gibberellic acid @75 ppm | 7.29 | 7.36 | 7.45 | 7.51 | 7.40 | | |
| T ₄ Boric acid @ 1% | 7.58 | 7.66 | 7.73 | 7.80 | 7.68 | | |
| T ₅ Boric acid @ 2% | 7.41 | 7.48 | 7.57 | 7.64 | 7.52 | | |
| T ₆ Boric acid @ 3% | 7.37 | 7.45 | 7.53 | 7.60 | 7.48 | | |
| T ₇ Sodium benzoate @ 2% | 7.61 | 7.69 | 7.80 | 7.89 | 7.74 | | |
| T ₈ Sodium benzoate @ 3% | 7.54 | 7.62 | 7.71 | 7.78 | 7.66 | | |
| T ₉ Sodium benzoate @ 4% | 7.49 | 7.53 | 7.62 | 7.69 | 7.58 | | |
| T ₁₀ Control (water dip + LDPE) | 7.65 | 7.72 | 7.86 | 7.97 | 7.80 | | |
| T ₁₁ Control (water dip) unpacked | 7.76 | 7.85 | 8.01 | 8.14 | 7.94 | | |
| Mean | 7.49 | 7.57 | 7.67 | 7.74 | | | |

CD at 5% level Base value: 7.20 Treatment: 0.21

Storage: 0.13

Storage × Treatment:



Table 5. Effect of chemicals and modified atmosphere packaging on Titratable acidity Baramasi lemon fruits at ambient conditions

| Treatment | Titratable acidity (%) | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | Storage interval (Days) | | | | | |
| | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | Mean | |
| T ₁ Gibberellic acid @ 25 ppm | 5.14 | 5.23 | 5.18 | 5.14 | 5.17 | |
| T ₂ Gibberellic acid @50 ppm | 5.09 | 5.28 | 5.33 | 5.37 | 5.26 | |
| T ₃ Gibberellic acid @75 ppm | 5.04 | 5.29 | 5.36 | 5.42 | 5.27 | |
| T ₄ Boric acid @ 1% | 5.23 | 5.27 | 5.12 | 5.01 | 5.15 | |
| T ₅ Boric acid @ 2% | 5.13 | 5.25 | 5.21 | 5.17 | 5.19 | |
| T ₆ Boric acid @ 3% | 5.11 | 5.26 | 5.32 | 5.27 | 5.24 | |
| T ₇ Sodium benzoate @ 2% | 5.23 | 5.19 | 5.07 | 4.91 | 5.10 | |
| T ₈ Sodium benzoate @ 3% | 5.19 | 5.24 | 5.14 | 5.02 | 5.14 | |
| T ₉ Sodium benzoate @ 4% | 5.16 | 5.26 | 5.16 | 5.08 | 5.16 | |
| T ₁₀ Control (water dip+ LDPE) | 5.25 | 5.14 | 4.94 | 4.63 | 4.98 | |
| T ₁₁ Control (water dip) unpacked | 5.28 | 5.11 | 4.26 | 3.36 | 4.51 | |
| Mean | 5.16 | 5.22 | 5.09 | 4.94 | | |

CD at 5% level

Treatment: 0.15

Storage: 0.45 Storage × Treatment: 0.31

Base value: 4.98

Total soluble solids (TSS)

Data presented in Table 4, shows an increase in total soluble solids of Baramasi lemon fruits with progression of storage period at ambient conditions. The perusal of data revealed that TSS content of Baramasi lemon fruits increased up to 60 days of storage. The mean minimum total soluble solids content (7.40%) was found in gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm treated and LDPE bagged fruits, followed by gibberellic acid @ 50 ppm+LDPE packaging treatment

and the mean maximum TSS content (7.94%) was recorded in untreated and unpacked control fruits. The increase in total soluble solids with advancement of storage period may be due to numerous catabolic processes taking place in fruits during ripening and senescence processes. The reason for increase in TSS could be attributed to water loss and hydrolysis of starch and other polysaccharides to soluble form of sugars. Wills et al., (1980); Wani, (1997) reported that starch gets hydrolysis into mono and disaccharides, which in turn may lead to an increase in TSS. The delayed increase in TSS over longer periods of time in gibberellic acid treated fruits might be attributed to anti-senescent properties of gibberellic acid, which delayed the ethylene production, senescence process and simultaneously delayed the conversion of starch into sugars. The results on total soluble solids in present study are in agreement with the findings of Bisen et al., (2012) in Kagzi lime fruits. Kaur et al., (2013) studied that different packaging materials maintained the perfect balance between sugars and acids of pear fruits up to 75 days of storage.

Titratable Acidity

The mean titratable acidity of Baramasi lemon fruits showed an increasing trend up to 30 days of storage and then decrease in acidity was observed by the end of 60 days storage at ambient conditions. The maximum acidity (5.27%) was recorded in gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm, followed by gibberellic acid @ 50 ppm (5.26%) treatment and minimum titratable acidity (4.51%) was noticed in untreated and unpacked control fruits. During entire storage period, the highest titratable acidity was maintained by fruits treated with gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm ranging from 5.04%-5.42% and lowest acidity was recorded in control fruits which ranged from 5.28%-3.36%. These findings are in conformation Cohen et al., (1990) in 'Eureka' lemons and Singh and Singhrot, (1994) in Baramasi lemon.

The decrease in titratable acids during storage may be attributed to utilization of organic acids in pyruvate decarboxylation reaction occurring during



the ripening process of fruits (Rhodes *et al.*, 1968 and Pool *et al.*, 1972). When the fruits are treated with chemicals and wrapped in films, the lowering of acidity was delayed, which might be due to effect of gibberellic acid and LDPE packaging films that delayed the respiratory and ripening process. High level of acid retention also seems to be associated with lower rate of respiration in LDPE bags. The results also corroborates with the finding of Bisen *et al.*, (2012) in Kagzi lime fruits.

Conclusion

It can be concluded from the study that Baramasi lemon fruits treated with gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm and packed in LDPE bags can be safely stored up to 60 days at ambient conditions.

References

- A.O.A.C., 1990. Official methods of Analysis. 15th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington D C, USA.
- Amerine, M.A., Pangborn R.M. and Roessler E.B. 1965. *Principle of Sensory Evaluation of Food.* Pp 5. Academic press London.
- Anonymous, 2014. Area and production of different fruit in India. www.faostat.fao.org
- Bajwa, B.E. and Arjun, F.M. 2007. Improving storage performance of *Citrus reticullata Blanco* mandarins by controlling some physiological disorders. *International Joirnal Food Science Technology* 41: 182-92.
- Ben-Yehoshua S, Cobilier I. and Shapiro, B. 1981. Effect of cooling verses seal packaging with high density polyethylene packaging on keeping quality of various citrus cultivars. *Journal of American Society Horticulture Science* **106**: 536-40.
- Ben-Yehoshua, S., I. Cobilier and B. Shapiro. 1981. Effect of cooling verses seal packaging with high density polyethylene packaging on *keeping quality of various citrus cultivars*. *Journal of American Society Hortcultural Science* **106**: 536-40.
- Ben-Yehoshua, S., Rodov, V., D.Q. Fang and J.J. Kim. 1995. Performed antifungal compounds of citrus fruit: effect of postharvest treatment with heat and growth regulators. *Journal of Agriculture Food Chemistry* **43**: 1062-66.
- Bisen, A., S.K. Pandey and N. Patel. 2012. Effect of skin coatings on prolonging shelf life of kagzi lime fruits (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle). *Journal of Food Science and Technology* **49**: 753-59.

- Cohen, S., Lurie, S. Shapiro, B. Ben- Yehoshua, S. Shalom, Y. and Rosenberger, I. 1990 Prolonged storage of lemons using individual seal packaging. *Journal of American Society Hortcultural Science* 115:251-55.
- Cunningham, N., Steciuk, K. and Taverner, P. 2004. Postharvest GA use Fruit Quality Storage Trial. *Packer Newsletter* 81:1-2.
- Hagenmaier, R.D. 2002. The flavor of mandarin hybrids with different coatings. *Post-harvest Biology and Technology* **24**: 79-87.
- Jawandha S.K., Gupta N. and Randhawa, S. 2012 Effect of post-harvest treatments on enzyme activity and quality of cold stored Ber fruit. *Not Science Biology* **4**: 86-89.
- Jawandha S.K., Singh H, Anita A. and Singh J. 2014. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging on storage of Baramasi lemon (Citrus limon (L.) Burm) International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 7(3): 635-638.
- Kaur, K., Dhillon, W.S. Mahajan, B.V. 2013. Effect of different packaging materials and storage intervals on physical and biochemical characteristics of pear. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* **50**: 1471-1452.
- Khader, S.E.S.A., Singh B.P. and Khan S.A. 1988. Effect of gibberellic acid as postharvest treatment of mango fruit on ripening, amylase and peroxidase activity and quality during storage. *Scientia Horticulture* **36**: 261-66.
- Krochta, J.M. 1997. Edible composite moisture barrier films. In: B Blakiston, Ed 1996. Packaging Year Book. Washington DC. Pp 38.
- Perez, M,B., C. Rojas and Del-Rio, M.A. 2002. Effect of lipid type and amount of edible hydroxyl propyl metylcellulose lipid composite coating used to protect postharvest quality of manderins *cv*. Fortune. *Joirnal Food Science* **67**: 2903-10.
- Pool, K.M., Weaver R.J. and Kliewer, K.M. 1972. The effect of growth regulators on changes in fruits of Thompson seedless during cold storage. *Journal of American Society Horticulutre Science* 97: 67-70.
- Rajput, C. B. S., Singh, S. P. and Ram, B. P. 1992. Effects of gibberellic acid, ferrous sulphate and ascorbic acid on the shelf life of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruits cv. Allahabad Safeda. *Progressive Horticulture* **24**: 128-134.
- Reddy, B,V., Madhavi, G.B. Reddy, D.V. Reddy V.C. and Srinu, B. 2008. Effect of different packing materials on the shelf life and quality of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) at room temperature. *Journal of Darying Foods* **27(3/4)**: 216-20.
- Rhodos, M.J.C, Woodtorton, L.S.C. Gallard and Huime, A.C. 1968. Metabolic changes in excised fruit tissue I. Factor affecting the development of malate decarboxylation system during the aging of disc of prw-climacteric apples. *Phytochemistry* 7: 439.
- Sakhale, B.K. and Kapse, B.M. 2012. Studies on shelf life extension of sweet or Janges (Citrus sinensis L.) *International Food Research Journal* **19**: 779-81.

- Singh, S. and Singhrot, R.S. 1994. Effect of some chemicals on different maturity stages of Lemon cv. Baramasi lemon to enhance its shelf life. Harayana *Journal of Horticulture Science* 23: 97-103.
- Singh, S., Bansal, M.L. Singh, T.P. and Kumar, R. 1998. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
- Srividya S., Reddy, S.S. V. Sudhavani and Reddy R.R. 2014. Effect of post-harvest chemicals on fruit physiology and shelf life of Tomato under ambient conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science and Technology* 2: 99-104.
- Tanaka, T. 1958 The origin and dispersal of citrus fruits, having their center of origin in India. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **15**: 101-115.
- Tarabih, M.E. and El-Metawally, M.A. 2014. Effect of jojoba oil and boric acid as post-harvest treatments on the shelf life of Washington Navel Orange Fruits. *International Journal for Agricultural Research* **9**:1-16.

- Thakur, K.S., Kaushal B.B.L. and Sharma, R.M. 2002. Effect of different post-harvest treatments and storage conditions on fruit quality of Kinnow. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* **39**: 609-18.
- Valero, D., Martiniez-Romero, D. Serrano M. and Riquelme, L. 1998. Postharvest gibberellin and heat treatment effects on polyamines, abscisic acid and firmness in lemons. *Journal of Food Science* **63**: 611-15.
- Wani, M.S. 1997. *Efect of calcium application on fruit quality and post-harvest storage of peach. Ph.D* Thesis, Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana.
- Wills, R.B.H, P.A. Cambridge and K.J. Scoot, 1980. Use of flesh firmness and other objective tests to determine consumer acceptability to delicious apples. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry* 20: 252-56.
- Zagory, D., and A.A. Kadar, 1988. Modified atmosphere packaging of fresh produce. *Food Technology* **42**: 70-77.